

- Adesope, O. O., Trevisan, D. A., & Sundararajan, N. (2017). Rethinking the use of tests: A meta-analysis of practice testing. *Review of Educational Research, 87*, 659–701. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316689306>
- Andersen, S. A. W., Mikkelsen, P. T., Konge, L., Cayé-Thomasen, P., & Sørensen, M. S. (2016). Cognitive load in distributed and massed practice in virtual reality mastoidectomy simulation. *The Laryngoscope, 126*(2), E74–E79. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.25449>
- Artino, A. R., & Stephens, J. M. (2009). Academic motivation and self-regulation: A comparative analysis of undergraduate and graduate students learning online. *The Internet and Higher Education, 12*(3–4), 146–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2009.02.001>
- Atkinson, R. K., Renkl, A., & Merrill, M. M. (2003). Transitioning from studying examples to solving problems: Effects of self-explanation prompts and fading worked-out steps. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(4), 774–783. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.774>
- Ayres, P., Marcus, N., Chan, C., & Qian, N. (2009). Learning hand manipulative tasks: When instructional animations are superior to equivalent static representations. *Computers in Human Behavior, 25*, 348–353. <https://doi.org/j.chb.2008.12.013>
- Ayres, P., & Sweller, J. (2014). The split-attention principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (2nd ed., pp. 206–226). Cambridge University Press.
- Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 8, pp. 47–89). Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421\(08\)60452-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60452-1)
- Bauhoff, V., Huff, M., & Schwan, S. (2012). Distance matters: Spatial contiguity effects as trade-off between gaze switches and memory load. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 26*(6), 863–871. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2887>
- Biard, N., Cojean, S., & Jamet, E. (2018). Effects of segmentation and pacing on procedural learning by video. *Computers in Human Behavior, 89*, 411–417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.12.002>
- Borup, J., West, R. E., & Graham, C. R. (2013). The influence of asynchronous video communication on learner social presence: A narrative analysis of four cases. *Distance Education, 34*, 48–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2013.770427>

- Bouchiex, J.-M., Gauthier, P., Fontaine, J.-B., & Jaffeux, S. (2018). Mixed camera viewpoints improve learning medical hand procedure from video in nurse training. *Computers in Human Behavior, 89*, 418–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.01.017>
- Brod, G. (2020). Generative learning: Which strategies for what age? . *Educational Psychology Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09571-9>
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., & Paas, F. (2014). Learning from observing hands in static and animated versions of non-manipulative tasks. *Learning and Instruction, 34*, 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.07.005>
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., & Sweller, J. (2019). Instructional visualizations, cognitive load theory, and visuospatial processing. In J. C. Castro-Alonso (Ed.), *Visuospatial Processing for Education in Health and Natural Sciences* (pp. 111–143). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20969-8_5
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., Wong, M., & Paas, F. (2018). Learning symbols from permanent and transient visual presentations: Don't overlay the hand. *Computers & Education, 116*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.08.011>
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., de Koning, B. B., Fiorella, L., & Paas, F. (2021). Five strategies for optimizing instructional materials: Instructor- and learner-managed cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09606-9>
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., & Sweller, J. (2019). The modality effect of cognitive load theory. In W. Karwowski, T. Ahram, & S. Nazir (Eds.), *Advances in Human Factors in Training, Education, and Learning Sciences: Proceedings of the AHFE 2019 International Conference on Human Factors in Training, Education, and Learning Sciences* (pp. 75–84). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20135-7_7
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., Wong, R. M., Adesope, O. O., & Paas, F. (2021). Effectiveness of multimedia pedagogical agents predicted by diverse theories: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09587-1>
- Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1991). Cognitive load theory and the format of instruction. *Cognition and Instruction, 8*(4), 293–332.
- Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1992). The split-attention effect as a factor in the design of instruction. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 62*, 233–246.
- Chen, O., Castro-Alonso, J. C., Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2018). Extending cognitive load theory to incorporate working memory resource depletion: evidence from the spacing

- effect. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(2), 483–501.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9426-2>
- Chi, M. T. H., Bassok, M., Lewis, M. W., Reimann, P., & Glaser, R. (1989). Self-explanations: How students study and use examples in learning to solve problems. *Cognitive Science*, 13, 145–182. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1302_1
- Cho, M.-H., & Shen, D. (2013). Self-regulation in online learning. *Distance Education*, 34(3), 290-301. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2013.835770>
- Craig, S. D., Gholson, B., & Driscoll, D. M. (2002). Animated pedagogical agents in multimedia educational environments: Effects of agent properties, picture features, and redundancy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(2), 428–434.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.2.428>
- Cucinotta, D., & Vanelli, M. (2020). WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta Bio Medica Atenei Parmensis*, 91(1), 157–160. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i1.9397>
- de Bruin, A. B. H., Roelle, J., Carpenter, S. K., Baars, M., & EFG-MRE (2020). Synthesizing cognitive load and self-regulation theory: A theoretical framework and research agenda. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(4), 903-915.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09576-4>
- de Bruin, A. B. H., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2017). Bridging cognitive load and self-regulated learning research: A complementary approach to contemporary issues in educational research. *Learning and Instruction*, 51, 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.06.001>
- de Koning, B. B., Tabbers, H. K., Rikers, R. M. J. P., & Paas, F. (2007). Attention cueing as a means to enhance learning from an animation. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 731–746. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1346>
- de Koning, B. B., Tabbers, H. K., Rikers, R. M. J. P., & Paas, F. (2009). Towards a framework for attention cueing in instructional animations: Guidelines for research and design. *Educational Psychology Review*, 21(2), 113–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-009-9098-7>
- de Koning, B. B., Tabbers, H. K., Rikers, R. M. J. P., & Paas, F. (2010). Attention guidance in learning from a complex animation: Seeing is understanding? *Learning and Instruction*, 20(2), 111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.02.010>
- de Koning, B. B., van Hooijdonk, C. M. J., & Lagerwerf, L. (2017). Verbal redundancy in a procedural animation: On-screen labels improve retention but not behavioral

- performance. *Computers & Education*, *107*, 45–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.12.013>
- Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions for cognitive and education psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *14*, 4–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266>
- Eitel, A., & Renkl, T. E. A. (2020). Self-management as a bridge between cognitive load and self-regulated learning: The illustrative case of seductive details. *Educational Psychology Review*, *32*, 1073–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09559-5>
- Fiorella, L., & Mayer, R. E. (2014). Role of expectations and explanations in learning by teaching. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *39*, 75–85.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.01.001>
- Fiorella, L., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). Eight ways to promote generative learning. *Psychology Review*, *28*, 717–741. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9348-9>
- Fiorella, L., Stull, A. T., Kuhlmann, S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Instructor presence in video lectures: The role of dynamic drawings, eye contact, and instructor visibility. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *111*(7), 1162–1171.
- Fiorella, L., Stull, A. T., Kuhlmann, S., & Mayer, R. E. (2020). Fostering generative learning from video lessons: Benefits of instructor-generated drawings and learner-generated explanations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *112*(5), 895–906.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000408>
- Fiorella, L., van Gog, T., Hoogerheide, V., & Mayer, R. E. (2017). It's all a matter of perspective: Viewing first-person video modeling examples promotes learning of an assembly task. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *109*, 653–665.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000161>
- Folker, S., Ritter, H., & Sichelschmidt, L. (2005). Processing and integrating multi-modal material: The influence of color coding. In B. G. Bara, L. Barsalou, & M. Bucciarelli (Eds.), *Proceedings of 27th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 690–695). Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ginns, P. (2005). Meta-analysis of the modality effect. *Learning and Instruction*, *15*(4), 313–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2005.07.001>
- Ginns, P., Hu, F. T., & Bobis, J. (2020). Tracing enhances problem-solving transfer, but without effects on intrinsic or extraneous cognitive load. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *34*(6), 1522–11529. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3732>

SHIFTING ONLINE: References

- Ginns, P., Hu, F. T., Byrne, E., & Bobis, J. (2016). Learning by tracing worked examples. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 30, 160–169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3171>
- Gluckman, M., Vlach, H. A., & Sandhofer, C. M. (2014). Spacing simultaneously promotes multiple forms of learning in children's science curriculum. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(2), 266–273. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2997>
- Gunawardena, C., & Zittle, F. (1997). Social presence as a predictor of satisfaction within a computer mediated conferencing environment. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 11, 8–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923649709526970>
- Hasler, B. S., Kersten, B., & Sweller, J. (2007). Learner control, cognitive load and instructional animation. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 713–729. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1345>
- Hatsidimitris, G., & Kalyuga, S. (2013). Guided self-management of transient information in animations through pacing and sequencing strategies. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 61, 91–105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9276-z>
- Hirsch, C. (2020, March 31, 2020). *Europe's coronavirus lockdown measures compared*. <https://www.politico.eu/article/europes-coronavirus-lockdown-measures-compared/>
- Höffler, T. N., & Schwartz, R. N. (2011). Effects of pacing and cognitive style across dynamic and non-dynamic representations. *Computers & Education*, 57(2), 1716–1726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.03.012>
- Hoogerheide, V., Deijkers, L., Loyens, S. M. M., Heijltjes, A., & van Gog, T. (2016). Gaining from explaining: learning improves from explaining to fictitious others on video, not from writing to them. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2016.02.005>
- Hoogerheide, V., Loyens, S. M. M., & van Gog, T. (2014a). Comparing the effects of worked examples and modeling examples on learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 41, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.09.013>
- Hoogerheide, V., Loyens, S. M. M., & van Gog, T. (2014b). Effects of creating video-based modeling examples on learning and transfer. *Learning and Instruction*, 33, 108–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.04.005>
- Hoogerheide, V., Renkl, A., Fiorella, L., Paas, F., & van Gog, T. (2019). Enhancing example-based learning: Teaching on video increases arousal and improves problem-solving performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(1), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000272>

- Howard, S., Tondeur, J., Siddiq, F., & Scherer, R. (2020). Ready, set, go! Profiling teachers' readiness for online teaching in secondary education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*(online), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2020.1839543>
- Hu, F. T., Ginns, P., & Bobis, J. (2015). Getting the point: Tracing worked examples enhances learning. *Learning and Instruction, 35*, 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.10.002>
- Jamet, E., Gavota, M., & Quaireau, C. (2008). Attention guiding in multimedia learning. *Learning and Instruction, 18*(2), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.01.011>
- Kalyuga, S. (2011). Cognitive load theory: How many types of load does it really need? *Educational Psychology Review, 23*(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9150-7>
- Kalyuga, S., Ayres, P., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2003). The expertise reversal effect. *Educational Psychologist, 38*(1), 23–31.
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1999). Managing split-attention and redundancy in multimedia instruction. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 13*(351–371).
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2001). Learner experience and efficiency of instructional guidance. *Educational Psychology, 21*(1), 5–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410124681>
- Kalyuga, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2004). When redundant on-screen text in multimedia technical instruction can interfere with learning. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 46*(567–581). <https://doi.org/10.1518/hfes.46.3.567.50405>
- Kalyuga, S., & Sweller, J. (2014). The redundancy principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (2nd ed., pp. 247–262). Cambridge University Press.
- Kant, J. M., Scheiter, K., & Oschatz, K. (2017). How to sequence video modeling examples and inquiry tasks to foster scientific reasoning. *Learning and Instruction, 52*, 46–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.04.005>
- Karakaya, K. (2021). Design considerations in emergency remote teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic: A human-centered approach. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 69*, 295–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09884-0>

- Kim, Y., & Wei, Q. (2011). The impact of learner attributes and learner choice in an agent-based environment. *Computers & Education, 56*(2), 505–514.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.09.016>
- Kizilcec, R. F., Bailenson, J. N., & Gomez, C. J. (2015). The instructor's face in video instruction: Evidence from two large-scale field studies. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 107*(3), 724–739. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000013>
- Lachner, A., Backfisch, I., Hoogerheide, V., van Gog, T., & Renkl, A. (2020). Timing matters! Explaining between study phases enhances students' learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 112*, 841–853. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000396>
- Lachner, A., Jacob, L., & Hoogerheide, V. (2021). Learning by writing explanations: Is explaining to a fictitious student more effective than self-explaining? *Learning and Instruction, 74*, 101438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2020.101438>
- Lachner, A., Ly, K. T., & Nückles, M. (2018). Providing written or oral explanations? Differential effects of the modality of explaining on students' conceptual learning and transfer *The Journal of Experimental Education, 86*, 344–361.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2017.1363691>
- Leahy, W., & Sweller, J. (2019). Cognitive load theory, resource depletion and the delayed testing effect. *Educational Psychology Review, 31*(2), 457–478.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09476-2>
- Leopold, C., Mayer, R. E., & Dutke, S. (2019). The power of imagination and perspective in learning from science text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 111*(5), 793–808.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000310>
- Linek, S. B., Gerjets, P., & Scheiter, K. (2011). The speaker/gender effect: Does the speaker's gender matter when presenting auditory text in multimedia messages? . *Instructional Science, 38*, 503–521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-009-9115-8>
- Low, R., & Sweller, J. (2014). The modality principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (2nd ed., pp. 227–246). Cambridge University Press.
- Macken, L., & Ginns, P. (2014). Pointing and tracing gestures may enhance anatomy and physiology learning. *Medical Teacher, 36*(7), 596–601.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.899684>
- Martin, F., Budhrani, K., & Wang, C. (2019). Examining faculty perception of their readiness to teach online. *Online Learning, 23*(3), 97–119.
<https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v23i3.1555>

SHIFTING ONLINE: References

- Martin, F., Sun, T., & Westine, C. (2020). A systematic review of research on online teaching and learning from 2009 to 2018. *Computers & Education, 159*, 1–17.
<https://doi.org/j.compedu.2020.104009>
- Mayer, R. E. (2018). Thirty years of research on online learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 100*(2), 206–208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3482>
- Mayer, R. E. (2020). *Multimedia Learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316941355>
- Mayer, R. E., & Chandler, P. (2001). When learning is just a click away: Does simple user interaction foster deeper understanding of multimedia messages. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 93*(2), 390–397. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-0663.93.2.390>
- Mayer, R. E., & Fiorella, L. (2014). Principle for reducing extraneous processing in multimedia learning: Coherence, signaling, redundancy, spatial contiguity, and temporal contiguity principles. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (2nd ed., pp. 279–315). Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E., Fiorella, L., & Stull, A. T. (2020). Five ways to increase the effectiveness of instructional video. *Educational Technology Research Development, 68*, 837–852.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09749-6>
- Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (1998). A split-attention effect in multimedia learning: Evidence for dual processing systems in working memory. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*(2), 312–320.
- Merkt, M., Weigand, S., Heier, A., & Schwan, S. (2011). Learning with videos vs. learning with print: The role of interactive features. *Learning and Instruction, 21*(6), 687–704.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.03.004>
- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two some limits on our capacity for processing information. *The Psychological Review, 63*, 81–97.
- Miller, G. A., Galanter, E., & Pribram, K. H. (1960). Values, intentions, and the execution of plans. In G. A. Miller, E. Galanter, & K. H. Pribram (Eds.), *Plans and the Structure of Behavior* (pp. 59–71). Henry Holt and Co.
- Moreno, R. (2006). Does the modality principle hold for different media? A test of the method-affects-learning hypothesis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 22*(3), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00170.x>
- Moreno, R., & Abercrombie, S. (2010). Promoting awareness of learner diversity in prospective teachers: Signaling individual and group differences within virtual

- classroom cases. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 18(1), 111–130. <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/29271>
- Muilenburg, L. Y., & Berge, Z. L. (2005). Student barriers to online learning: A factor analytic study. *Distance Education*, 26(1), 29–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587910500081269>
- Ng, H. K., Kalyuga, S., & Sweller, J. (2013). Reducing transience during animation: A cognitive load perspective. *Educational Psychology*, 33(7), 755–772. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2013.785050>
- Ozcelik, E., Arslan-Ari, I., & Cagiltay, K. (2010). Why does signaling enhance multimedia learning? Evidence from eye movements. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(1), 110–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.09.001>
- Paas, F., Renkl, A., & Sweller, J. (2003). Cognitive load theory and instructional design: Recent developments. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801_1
- Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2012). An evolutionary upgrade of cognitive load theory: Using the human motor system and collaboration to support the learning of complex cognitive tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24, 27–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-011-9179-2>
- Pi, Z., Xu, K., Liu, C., & Yang, J. (2020). Instructor presence in video lectures: Eye gaze matters, but not body orientation. *Computers & Education*, 144, 103713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103713>
- Reinwein, J. (2012). Does the modality effect exist? and if so, which modality effect? *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 41(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-011-9180-4>
- Renkl, A., Atkinson, R. K., Maier, U. H., & Staley, R. (2002). From example study to problem solving: Smooth transitions help learning. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 70, 293–315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220970209599510>
- Rey, G. D., Beege, M., Nebel, S., Wirzberger, M., Schmitt, T. H., & Schneider, S. (2019). A Meta-analysis of the segmenting effect. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31, 389–419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-018-9456-4>
- Rittle-Johnson, B., & Loehr, A. M. (2017). Eliciting explanations: Constraints on when self-explanation aids learning. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 24, 1501–1510.
- Robinson, H., Al-Freih, M., & Kilgore, W. (2020). Designing with care: Towards a care-centered model for online learning design. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 37, 99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-10-2019-0098>

- Roscoe, R. D., & Chi, M. (2007). Understanding tutor learning: Knowledge-building and knowledge-telling in peer tutors' explanations and questions. *Review of Educational Research, 77*, 534–574. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654307309920>
- Rowland, C. A. (2014). The effect of testing versus restudy on retention: A meta-analytic review of the testing effect. *Psychological Bulletin, 140*, 1432–1463. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037559>
- Russo, T., & Benson, S. (2005). Learning with invisible others: Perceptions of online presence and their relationship to cognitive and affective learning. *Educational Technology & Society, 8*(1), 54–62. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/jeductechsoci.8.1.54>
- Sepp, S., Agostinho, S., Tindall-Ford, S., & Paas, F. (2020). Gesture-based Learning with ICT: Recent developments, opportunities, and considerations. In S. Tindall-Ford, S. Agostinho, & J. Sweller (Eds.), *Advances in Cognitive Load Theory: Rethinking Teaching*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429283895-11>
- Sepp, S., Howard, S. J., Tindall-Ford, S., Agostinho, S., & Paas, F. (2019). Cognitive load theory and human movement: towards an integrated model of working memory. *Educational Psychology Review, 31*, 293–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09461-9>
- Short, J., Williams, E., & Christie, B. (1976). *The social psychology of telecommunications*. Wiley.
- Stiller, K. D., Freitag, A., Zinnbauer, P., & Freitag, C. (2009). How pacing of multimedia instructions can influence modality effects: A case of superiority of visual texts. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 25*(2), 184–203. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1149>
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving effects on learning. *Cognitive Science, 12*(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4
- Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). *Cognitive Load Theory*. Springer.
- Sweller, J., & Chandler, P. (1994). Why some material is difficult to learn. *Cognition and Instruction, 12*(3), 185–233.
- Sweller, J., & Cooper, G. A. (1985). The use of worked examples as a substitute for problem solving in learning algebra. *Cognition and Instruction, 2*(1), 59–89. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3233555>
- Sweller, J., & Levine, M. (1982). Effects of goal specificity on means–ends analysis and learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 8*, 463–474. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.8.5.463>

- Sweller, J., & Paas, F. (2017). Should self-regulated learning be integrated with cognitive load theory? A commentary. *Learning and Instruction, 51*, 85–89.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.05.005>
- Tabbers, H. K., Martens, R. L., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2004). Multimedia instructions and cognitive load theory: Effects of modality and cueing. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 74*(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709904322848824>
- Tindall-Ford, S., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (1997). When two sensory modes are better than one. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 3*(4), 257–287.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.3.4.257>
- van Gog, T. (2014). The signaling (or cueing) principle in multimedia. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (2nd ed., pp. 263–278). Cambridge University Press.
- van Gog, T., Hoogerheide, V., & van Harsel, M. (2020). The role of mental effort in fostering self-regulated learning with problem-solving tasks. *Educational Psychology Review, Online*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09544-y>
- van Gog, T., Kester, L., & Paas, F. (2011). Effects of worked examples, example-problem, and problem-example pairs on novices' learning. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 36*, 212–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2010.10.004>
- van Gog, T., Rummel, N., & Renkl, A. (2019). Learning how to solve problems by studying examples. In J. Dunlosky & K. Rawson (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of cognition and education* (pp. 183–208). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108235631.009>
- van Gog, T., & Sweller, J. (2015). Not new, but nearly forgotten: The testing effect decreases or even disappears as the complexity of learning materials increases. *Educational Psychology Review, 27*(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9310-x>
- van Gog, T., Verveer, I., & Verveer, L. (2014). Learning from video modeling examples: Effects of seeing the human model's face. *Computers & Education, 72*, 323–327.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.12.004>
- van Harsel, M., Hoogerheide, V., Verkoeijen, P., & van Gog, T. (2019). Effects of different sequences of examples and problems on motivation and learning. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 58*, 260–275.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.03.005>
- van Harsel, M., Hoogerheide, V., Verkoeijen, P., & van Gog, T. (2020). Examples, practice problems, or both? Effects on motivation and learning in shorter and longer

- sequences. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 34, 793–812.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3649>
- van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (1990). Strategies for programming instruction in high school: Program completion vs. program generation. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 6(3), 265–285. <https://doi.org/10.2190/4NK5-17L7-TWQV-1EHL>
- van Wermeeskerken, M., Ravensbergen, S., & van Gog, T. (2018). Effects of instructor presence in video modeling examples on attention and learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 89, 430–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.11.038>
- van Wermeeskerken, M., & van Gog, T. (2017). Seeing the instructor's face and gaze in demonstration video examples affects attention allocation but not learning. *Computers & Education*, 113, 98–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.05.013>
- Wang, J., Antonenko, P., & Dawson, K. (2020). Does visual attention to the instructor in online video affect learning and learner perceptions? An eye-tracking analysis. *Computers & Education*, 146, 103779.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103779>
- Wirth, J., Stebner, F., Trypke, M., Schuster, C., & Leutner, D. (2020). An interactive layers model of self-regulated learning and cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, online. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09568-4>
- Wong, A., Marcus, N., Ayres, P., Smith, L., Cooper, G. A., Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2009). Instructional animations can be superior to statics when learning human motor skills. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25, 339–347. <https://doi.org/j.chb.2008.12.012>
- Wong, M., Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., & Paas, F. (2020). The effects of transient information and element interactivity on learning from instructional animations. In J. Sweller, S. Tindall-Ford, & S. Agostinho (Eds.), *Advances in Cognitive Load Theory: Rethinking Teaching*. Routledge.